

## Econ 802

### Second Midterm Exam

Greg Dow

November 10, 2017

All questions have equal weight. If something is unclear, please ask.

1. Jack has preferences over two goods  $(x_1, x_2) \geq 0$ .
  - (a) Define local non-satiation. Then prove that if this assumption holds, an optimal bundle must be on the budget line, not below it. Explain briefly using a graph.
  - (b) Define strictly convex preferences. Then prove that if this assumption holds, the optimal bundle is unique. Explain briefly using a graph.
  - (c) Define the Marshallian demand vector  $x(p, m)$  where  $(p_1, p_2, m) > 0$  and show that it is homogeneous of degree zero. You can use the assumptions in (a) and (b).
  
2. Jean has the utility function  $u(x, y) = 1 - e^{-ax} + y$  where  $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$ , and  $a > 0$ .
  - (a) Putting  $x$  on the horizontal axis and  $y$  on the vertical axis, draw a few indifference curves. Is the utility function strictly quasi-concave? Why or why not?
  - (b) Let  $p > 0$  be the price of  $x$ , let  $q > 0$  be the price of  $y$ , and let  $m > 0$  be income. Assuming the solution is interior, find some  $(x^*, y^*) > 0$  that satisfies the first order conditions for utility maximization. Does the second order necessary condition hold? Does the second order sufficient condition hold? Explain.
  - (c) Taking account of the possibility that there may be boundary solutions for  $x$  or  $y$ , solve for the Marshallian demand functions for both goods for all  $(p, q, m) > 0$ . Carefully justify your reasoning.
  
3. Jim has the direct utility function  $u(x_1, x_2) = \alpha \ln x_1 + (1-\alpha) \ln x_2$  where  $x_1 > 0, x_2 > 0$ , and  $0 < \alpha < 1$ . His indirect utility function is  $v(p, m) = \ln m - \alpha \ln p_1 - (1-\alpha) \ln p_2 + \ln K$  and his expenditure function is  $e(p, u) = e^u p_1^\alpha p_2^{1-\alpha} / K$  where  $K > 0$  is a constant. Note that in the expression  $e^u$ , the number  $e$  is the constant 2.718 . . .
  - (a) Carrying out appropriate calculations, show that  $x(p, m) = h[p, v(p, m)]$ . Interpret your results using a graph.
  - (b) Initially prices and income are  $(p, m) > 0$ . Due to a change in government policy, the prices change to  $q \neq p$ . What income  $m'$  does Jim need at the prices  $q$  in order

- to be exactly as well off as he was at  $(p, m)$ ? After you solve this problem, briefly discuss what happens in the special case where  $t > 0$  is a scalar and  $q = tp$ .
- (c) The indirect utility function  $z(p, m) = mK/p_1^\alpha p_2^{1-\alpha}$  is an increasing transformation of  $v(p, m)$ . Assume all consumers  $i = 1 \dots n$  have indirect utility functions of this form and let  $M = \sum m_i$  be total income. Will it be possible to write the aggregate (market) demands in the form  $X(p, M)$ ? Does it matter whether  $\alpha_i$  differs across consumers? You don't need to calculate  $X(p, M)$  but give a careful explanation.
4. Joan has the utility function  $u(x, L)$  where  $x \geq 0$  is food and  $L \geq 0$  is leisure. Her only income is  $wH$  where  $w > 0$  is the wage and  $H \geq 0$  is her hours of work. The price of food is  $p > 0$ . Her time constraint is  $H + L = T$  where  $T > 0$  is total time.
- (a) Suppose  $x$  and  $p$  are scalars. Write Joan's budget constraint in terms of the bundle  $(x, L)$ . Then explain how you would solve for her demand for leisure. Finally use calculus and the Slutsky equation to show that her leisure  $L$  can increase when the price of leisure increases, even if leisure is a normal good. Explain carefully.
- (b) Continue to assume  $x$  and  $p$  are scalars. Suppose  $(x^*, L^*) > 0$  are given, and you want to find prices  $(p, w) > 0$  such that Joan would choose  $(x^*, L^*)$ . Describe a method you might use, and state any assumptions you need. Does your method work for all possible  $(x^*, L^*) > 0$ , or only for some? Discuss.
- (c) Now let  $x = (x_1 \dots x_n) \geq 0$  be a vector of specific food items (rice, fish, etc.), and let  $p = (p_1 \dots p_n) > 0$  be the associated prices. Suppose you want to aggregate all of the food items into a single composite good called 'food' (big  $X$ ). Describe a set of assumptions that permit you to do this (choose one of the two methods we covered in class; don't use both). Show how to construct a price for big  $X$  and a utility function  $U(X, L)$  involving big  $X$ . Carefully explain your reasoning.
5. There are  $n$  identical firms of type A with short run cost functions  $c_A(y) = ay^2 + F$  and  $m$  identical firms of type B with short cost functions  $c_B(y) = by + G$ , where  $a$ ,  $b$ ,  $F$ , and  $G$  are all positive constants. Firms of type A can produce any output  $y \geq 0$ . Firms of type B are restricted to an interval  $0 \leq y \leq y_{\max}$  where  $y_{\max} > 0$ .
- (a) Describe the supply functions  $y_A(p)$  and  $y_B(p)$  for individual firms of each type mathematically and show these supply functions on separate graphs.
- (b) Describe the market supply curve  $S(p)$  mathematically and show it on a graph.
- (c) Using your graph from part (b), draw a market demand curve  $D(p)$  for each of the following cases: (i) only A firms have positive output; (ii) all firms have positive output but B firms do not produce  $y_{\max}$ ; (iii) all firms have positive output and the B firms would produce more output if they could. Explain your reasoning.